arXiv:1401.0811v1 [math.QA] 4 Jan 2014

On the Center of Two-parameter Quantum Groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$

Naihong Hu* and Yuxing Shi

ABSTRACT. The paper mainly considers the center of two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ via an analogue of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. In the case when n is odd, the Harish-Chandra homomorphism is not injective in general. When n is even, the Harish-Chandra homomorphism is injective and the center of two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ is described, up to isomorphism.

1. Introduction

From down-up algebras approach ([**BW1**]), Benkart-Witherspoon ([**BW2**]) recovered Takeuchi's definition of two-parameter quantum groups of type A. Since then, a systematic study of the two-parameter quantum groups has been going on, see, for instance, ([**BW2**], [**BW3**]) for type A; ([**BGH1**], [**BGH2**]) for types B, C, D; [**HS**], [**BH**] for types G_2, E_6, E_7, E_8. For a unified definition, see ([**HP1**], [**HP2**]). In this paper we determine the center of the two-parameter quantum groups of type B_n when n is even.

Much work has been done on the center of quantum groups for finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras ([**B**], [**D**], [**JL**], [**R**], [**RTF**], [**T**]), and also for (generalized) Kac-Moody (super)algebras ([**E**], [**Hong**], [**KT**]), and for two-parameter quantum group of type A [**BKL**]. The approach taken in many of these papers (and adopted here as well) is to define a bilinear form on the quantum group which is invariant under the adjoint action. This quantum version of the Killing form is often referred to in the one-parameter setting as the Rosso form (see [**R**]). The next step involves constructing an analogue ξ of the Harish-Chandra map. It is straightforward to show that the map ξ is an injective algebra homomorphism. The main difficulty lies in determining the image of ξ and in finding enough central elements to prove that the map ξ is surjective. In the two-parameter case for type A_n [**BKL**], a new phenomenon arises: the n odd and even cases behave differently.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, 81R50; Secondary 17B35.

Key words and phrases. two-parameter quantum group, Harish-Chandra homomorphism, Rosso form.

*N.H., Corresponding author, supported in part by the NNSF (Grant: 11271131), the National & Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Projects (Project Number: B407).

Additional central elements arise when n is even. As for type B_n, we will show that ξ is an injective map only when n is even.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the definition of two-parameter quantum group $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ and some related properties are given. In section 3, we introduce a Harish-Chandra homomorphism ξ, and prove that ξ is injective when n is even. In section 4, we determine the center of two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ in the sense of isomorphisms when n is even.

2. $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ and related properties

2.1. Definition of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$. The definition of two-parameters quantum groups of type B was given in [**BGH1**]. Here $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Q}(r,s)$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ with $r,\ s\in\mathbb{C}$, $r^2+s^2\neq 1$, $r\neq s$. Φ is the root system of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ with Π a base of simple roots, which is a finite subset of a Euclidean space $E=\mathbb{R}^n$ with an inner product $(,)$. Let $\varepsilon_1,\cdots,\varepsilon_n$ denote a normal orthogonal basis of E, then $\Pi=\{\alpha_i=\varepsilon_i-\varepsilon_{i+1}, 1\leq i\leq n-1, \alpha_n=\varepsilon_n\}$, $\Phi=\{\pm\varepsilon_i\pm\varepsilon_j,\ 1\leq i<j\leq n;\ \pm\varepsilon_j,\ 1\leq j\leq n\}$. Denote $r_i=r^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)},\ s_i=s^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $U=U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ be the associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by $e_i,\ f_i,\ \omega_i^{\pm1},\ \omega_i'^{\pm1}(i=1,\cdots,n)$, subject to relations $(B1)$—$(B7)$:

$(B1)$ $\omega_i^{\pm1},\omega_j'^{\pm1}$ all commute with one another and $\omega_i\omega_i^{-1}=1=\omega_j'\omega_j'^{-1}$ for $1\leq i,j\leq n$.

$(B2)$ For $1\leq i\leq n$, $1\leq j<n$, there are the following identities:

$$\omega_j e_i\omega_j^{-1}=r_j^{(\varepsilon_j,\alpha_i)}s_j^{(\varepsilon_{j+1},\alpha_i)}e_i,\qquad \omega_j f_i\omega_j^{-1}=r_j^{-(\varepsilon_j,\alpha_i)}s_j^{-(\varepsilon_{j+1},\alpha_i)}f_i,$$
$$\omega_n e_j\omega_n^{-1}=r_n^{2(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_j)}e_j,\qquad \omega_n f_j\omega_n^{-1}=r_n^{-2(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_j)}f_j,$$
$$\omega_n e_n\omega_n^{-1}=r_n^{(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_n)}s_n^{-(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_n)}e_n,\qquad \omega_n f_n\omega_n^{-1}=r_n^{-(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_n)}s_n^{(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_n)}f_n.$$

$(B3)$ For $1\leq i\leq n$, $1\leq j<n$, there are the following identities:

$$\omega_j' e_i\omega_j'^{-1}=s_j^{(\varepsilon_j,\alpha_i)}r_j^{(\varepsilon_{j+1},\alpha_i)}e_i,\qquad \omega_j' f_i\omega_j'^{-1}=s_j^{-(\varepsilon_j,\alpha_i)}r_j^{-(\varepsilon_{j+1},\alpha_i)}f_i,$$
$$\omega_n' e_j\omega_n'^{-1}=s_n^{2(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_j)}e_j,\qquad \omega_n' f_j\omega_n'^{-1}=s_n^{-2(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_j)}f_j,$$
$$\omega_n' e_n\omega_n'^{-1}=s_n^{(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_n)}r_n^{-(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_n)}e_n,\qquad \omega_n' f_n\omega_n'^{-1}=s_n^{-(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_n)}r_n^{(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_n)}f_n.$$

$(B4)$ For $1\leq i,j\leq n$, there are the following identities:

$$[e_i,f_j]=\delta_{ij}\frac{\omega_i-\omega_i'}{r_i-s_i}.$$

$(B5)$ For $|i-j|>1$, there are (r,s)-Serre relations:

$$[e_i,e_j]=[f_i,f_j]=0.$$

$(B6)$ For $1\leq i<n, 1\leq j<n-1$, there are (r,s)-Serre relations:

$$e_i^2e_{i+1}-(r_i+s_i)e_ie_{i+1}e_i+(r_is_i)e_{i+1}e_i^2=0,$$
$$e_{j+1}^2e_j-(r_{j+1}^{-1}+s_{j+1}^{-1})e_{j+1}e_je_{j+1}+(r_{j+1}^{-1}s_{j+1}^{-1})e_je_{j+1}^2=0,$$
$$e_n^3e_{n-1}-(r_n^{-2}+r_n^{-1}s_n^{-1}+s_n^{-2})e_n^2e_{n-1}e_n$$
$$=-(r_n^{-1}s_n^{-1})(r_n^{-2}+r_n^{-1}s_n^{-1}+s_n^{-2})e_ne_{n-1}e_n^2+(r_n^{-3}s_n^{-3})e_{n-1}e_n^3.$$

$(B7)$ For $1 \le i < n, 1 \le j < n-1$, there are (r,s)-Serre relations:

$$f_{i+1}f_i^2 - (r_i+s_i)f_if_{i+1}f_i + (r_is_i)f_i^2f_{i+1} = 0,$$

$$f_jf_{j+1}^2 - (r_{j+1}^{-1}+s_{j+1}^{-1})f_{j+1}f_jf_{j+1} + (r_{j+1}^{-1}s_{j+1}^{-1})f_{j+1}^2f_j = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} &f_{n-1}f_n^3 - (r_n^{-2}+r_n^{-1}s_n^{-1}+s_n^{-2})f_nf_{n-1}f_n^2 \\ &= -(r_n^{-1}s_n^{-1})(r_n^{-2}+r_n^{-1}s_n^{-1}+s_n^{-2})f_n^2f_{n-1}f_n + (r_n^{-3}s_n^{-3})f_n^3f_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The Hopf algebra structure on $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ with the comultiplication, the counit and the antipode as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\omega_i^{\pm1}) &= \omega_i^{\pm1}\otimes\omega_i^{\pm1}, & \Delta(\omega_i'^{\pm1}) &= \omega_i'^{\pm1}\otimes\omega_i'^{\pm1}, \\ \Delta(e_i) &= e_i\otimes 1 + \omega_i\otimes e_i, & \Delta(f_i) &= 1\otimes f_i + f_i\otimes\omega_i', \\ \varepsilon(\omega_i^{\pm1}) &= \varepsilon(\omega_i'^{\pm1}) = 1, & \varepsilon(e_i) &= \varepsilon(f_i) = 0, \\ S(\omega_i^{\pm1}) &= \omega_i^{\mp1}, & S(\omega_i'^{\pm1}) &= \omega_i'^{\mp1}, \\ S(e_i) &= -\omega_i^{-1}e_i, & S(f_i) &= -f_i\omega_i'^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\varpi_i$ be the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, where ϖ_i are the fundamental weights. Let $\Lambda^+ = \{\lambda\in\Lambda \mid (\alpha_i,\lambda)\ge 0,\ 1\le i\le n\}$ denote the set of dominant weights for $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$. $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ denote the root lattice and set $Q^+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\alpha_i$.

U has a triangular decomposition $U \cong U^-\otimes U_0\otimes U^+$, where U_0 is the subalgebra generated by $\omega_i^{\pm}$, $\omega_i'^{\pm}$, and U^+ (resp. U^-) is the subalgebra generated by e_i (resp. f_i). Let $\mathcal{B}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}'$) denote the Hopf subalgebra of U generated by $e_j, \omega_j^{\pm}$ (resp. $f_j, \omega_j'^{\pm}$) with $1\le j\le n$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. [**BGH1**] There exists a unique skew-dual pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle\colon \mathcal{B}'\times\mathcal{B}\to\mathbb{K}$ of the Hopf subalgebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_i, e_j\rangle &= \delta_{ij}\frac{1}{s_i-r_i}, & &1\le i,j\le n, \\ \langle\omega_i', \omega_j\rangle &= r^{2(\varepsilon_j,\alpha_i)}s^{2(\varepsilon_{j+1},\alpha_i)}, & &1\le i\le n, 1\le j<n, \\ \langle\omega_i', \omega_n\rangle &= r^{2(\varepsilon_n,\alpha_i)}, & &1\le i<n, \\ \langle\omega_i'^{\pm1}, \omega_j^{-1}\rangle &= \langle\omega_i'^{\pm1}, \omega_j\rangle^{-1} = \langle\omega_i', \omega_j\rangle^{\mp1}, & &1\le i,j\le n, \\ \langle\omega_n', \omega_n\rangle &= rs^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

and all other pairs of generators are 0. Moreover, we have $\langle S(a), S(b)\rangle = \langle a, b\rangle$ for $a\in\mathcal{B}', b\in\mathcal{B}$.

COROLLARY 2.2. [**BGH1**] For $\varsigma = \sum_{i=1}^n \varsigma_i\alpha_i \in Q$, the defining relations $(B3)$ of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\varsigma e_i\omega_\varsigma^{-1} &= \langle\omega_i', \omega_\varsigma\rangle e_i, & \omega_\varsigma f_i\omega_\varsigma^{-1} &= \langle\omega_i', \omega_\varsigma\rangle^{-1} f_i, \\ \omega_\varsigma' e_i\omega_\varsigma'^{-1} &= \langle\omega_\varsigma', \omega_i\rangle^{-1} e_i, & \omega_\varsigma' f_i\omega_\varsigma'^{-1} &= \langle\omega_\varsigma', \omega_i\rangle f_i. \end{aligned}$$

Corresponding to any $\lambda\in Q$ is an algebra homomorphism $\varrho^\lambda : U_0\to\mathbb{K}$ given by $\varrho^\lambda(\omega_j) = \langle\omega_\lambda',\ \omega_j\rangle$, $\varrho^\lambda(\omega_j') = \langle\omega_j',\ \omega_\lambda\rangle^{-1}$. Associated with any algebra homomorphism

$\psi : U_0 \to \mathbb{K}$ is the Verma module $M(\psi)$ with highest weight ψ and its unique irreducible quotient $L(\psi)$. When the highest weight is given by the homomorphism ϱ^λ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we simply write $M(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)$ instead of $M(\varrho^\lambda)$ and $L(\varrho^\lambda)$. They all belong to category $\mathcal{O}$, for more details, please refer to [**BGH2**].

LEMMA 2.3. [**BGH2**] Let v_λ be a highest weight vector of $M(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. Then the irreducible module $L(\lambda)$ is given by

$$L(\lambda) = L'(\lambda) = M(\lambda) \Big/ \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} U f_i^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)+1} v_\lambda \Big).$$

Let W be the Weyl group of the root system Φ, and $\sigma_i \in W$ the reflection associated to α_i for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, $\sigma_i(\lambda) = \lambda - (\lambda, \alpha_i)\alpha_i,\ \lambda \in \Lambda$. By [**BGH2**], $L(\lambda)$ is a finite-dimensional U-module on which U_0 acts semi-simply. $L(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} L(\lambda)_\mu$, where $\varrho^\mu : U_0 \to \mathbb{K}$ is an algebra homomorphism, and $L(\lambda)_\mu = \{x \in L(\lambda) \mid \omega_i.x = \varrho^\mu(\omega_i)x,\ \omega_i'.x = \varrho^\mu(\omega_i')x,\ 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

LEMMA 2.4. [**BGH2**] (a) *The elements e_i, $f_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ act locally nilpotently on $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$-module $L'(\lambda)$.*

(b) *Assume that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity, $V = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} V_{\lambda - j\alpha} \in Ob(\mathcal{O})$ is a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If e, f act locally nilpotently on V, then $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V < \infty$, and the weights of V are preserved under the simple reflection taking α to $-\alpha$.*

THEOREM 2.5. *If $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, then* $\dim L(\lambda)_\mu = \dim L(\lambda)_{\sigma(\mu)},\ \forall \mu \in \Lambda,\ \sigma \in W$.

Proof. $L(\lambda) = L'(\lambda)$, U_i a subalgebra generated by $e_i, f_i, \omega_i, \omega_i'$, μ is a weight of $L'(\lambda)$, $L_i'(\mu) = U_i L'(\lambda)_\mu = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} L_i'(\mu)_{\lambda' - j\alpha_i}$, where $\lambda' \leq \lambda$. By [**BGH2**] Lemma 2.15, simple reflection σ_i preserves weights of $L_i'(\mu)$, $\sigma_i(\mu)$ is weight of both $L_i'(\mu)$ and $L'(\lambda)$.

Since $\dim L'(\lambda)_\mu \geq \dim L'(\lambda)_{\sigma_i(\mu)} \geq \dim L'(\lambda)_\mu,\ \dim L'(\lambda)_\mu = \dim L'(\lambda)_{\sigma_i(\mu)}$. So $\dim L'(\lambda)_\mu = \dim L'(\lambda)_{\sigma(\mu)}$. □

DEFINITION 2.2. [**BGH1**] Bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_U : U \times U \to \mathbb{K}$ defined by

$$\langle F_a \omega_\mu' \omega_\upsilon E_\beta, F_\theta \omega_\sigma' \omega_\delta E_\gamma \rangle_U = \langle \omega_\sigma', \omega_\upsilon \rangle \langle \omega_\mu', \omega_\delta \rangle \langle F_\theta, E_\beta \rangle \langle S^2(F_a), E_\gamma \rangle.$$

This form is also called the Rosso form of the two-parameter quantum group $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$.

THEOREM 2.6. [**BGH1**] The Rosso form on $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g}) \times U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_U$ is ad_{l}-invariant, that is, $\langle \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{l}}(a)b, c\rangle_U = \langle b, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{l}}(S(a))c\rangle_U$ for $a, b, c \in U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$.

By Theorem 2.14 ([**BGH1**]),

$$\langle a, b\rangle_U = 0, \quad a \in U_{r,s}^{-\sigma}(\mathfrak{n}^-), \quad b \in U_{r,s}^{\delta}(\mathfrak{n}), \quad \sigma, \delta \in Q^+, \quad \sigma \neq \delta.$$

THEOREM 2.7 ([**BGH1**]). For $\beta \in Q^+$, the skew pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\mathcal{B}'^{-\beta} \times \mathcal{B}^\beta$ is nondegenerate.

LEMMA 2.8. If $\mu, \mu_1, \nu, \nu_1 \in Q^+$, then
$$\langle U^{-\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^+), U^{-\nu_1}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^{\mu_1}(\mathfrak{n}^+)\rangle_U = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \mu = \nu_1, \nu = \mu_1.$$

Define a group homomorphism $\chi_{\eta,\phi} : Q \times Q \to \mathbb{K}^\times$ as follows
$$\chi_{\eta,\phi}(\eta_1, \phi_1) = \langle \omega'_\eta, \omega_{\phi_1}\rangle\langle \omega'_{\eta_1}, \omega_\phi\rangle,$$
where $(\eta, \phi) \in Q \times Q$, $(\eta_1, \phi_1) \in Q \times Q$, $\mathbb{K}^\times = \mathbb{K}\backslash\{0\}$.

LEMMA 2.9. Suppose $r^k s^l = 1$ if and only if $k = l = 0$. If $\chi_{\eta,\phi} = \chi_{\eta',\phi'}$, then $(\eta, \phi) = (\eta', \phi')$.

Proof: Let $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_i\alpha_i$. By definition,
$$\langle \omega'_\zeta, \omega_i\rangle = \begin{cases} r^{2(\varepsilon_i,\zeta)}s^{2(\varepsilon_{i+1},\zeta)}, & i < n, \\ r^{2(\varepsilon_n,\zeta)}(rs)^{-\zeta_i}, & i = n. \end{cases}$$
$$\langle \omega'_i, \omega_\zeta\rangle^{-1} = \begin{cases} r^{2(\varepsilon_{i+1},\zeta)}s^{2(\varepsilon_i,\zeta)}, & i < n, \\ s^{2(\varepsilon_n,\zeta)}(rs)^{-\zeta_i}, & i = n. \end{cases}$$
It is easy to see that the conclusion is obvious for case $i < n$. For case $i = n$,
$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\eta,\phi}(0, \alpha_i) &= \langle \omega'_\eta, \omega_i\rangle = r^{2(\varepsilon_i,\eta)}s^{2(\varepsilon_{i+1},\eta)} \\ &= \chi_{\eta',\phi'}(0, \alpha_i) = r^{2(\varepsilon_i,\eta')}s^{2(\varepsilon_{i+1},\eta')}. \end{aligned}$$
By comparing the exponent of r, s, we get that $(\varepsilon_i, \eta - \eta') = 0$, $(\varepsilon_{i+1}, \eta - \eta') = 0$. So, $\eta = \eta'$, and
$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\eta,\phi}(\alpha_i, 0) &= \langle \omega'_i, \omega_\phi\rangle = r^{-2(\varepsilon_{i+1},\phi)}s^{-2(\varepsilon_i,\phi)} \\ &= \chi_{\eta',\phi'}(\alpha_i, 0) = r^{-2(\varepsilon_{i+1},\phi')}s^{-2(\varepsilon_i,\phi')}. \end{aligned}$$
By comparing the exponent of r, s, we have $(\varepsilon_i, \phi - \phi') = 0$, $(\varepsilon_{i+1}, \phi - \phi') = 0$, i.e., $\phi = \phi'$. □

LEMMA 2.10. If $r^k s^l = 1 \Longleftrightarrow k = l = 0$. Then Rosso form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_U$ is nondegenerate on U.

Proof: We only have to prove that $u \in U^{-\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$, $\langle u, v\rangle_U = 0$ for all $v \in U^{-\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^{\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$, then $u = 0$. Let $\mu \in Q^+$, $\{u_1^\mu,\ u_2^\mu, \cdots u_{d_\mu}^\mu\}$ is a basis of $U^{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$, $\dim U^{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^+) = d_\mu$. By Theorem 2.7, we can take a dual basis of $U^{-\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ as $\{v_1^\mu, v_2^\mu, \cdots v_{d_\mu}^\mu\}$, that is, $\langle v_i^\mu, u_j^\mu\rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Set $\{v_i^\nu\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi u_j^\mu \big| 1 \le i \le d_\nu,\ 1 \le j \le d_\mu\}$ is a basis of $U^{-\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$. By definition of the Rosso form,
$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_i^\nu\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi u_j^\mu, v_k^\mu\omega'_{\eta_1}\omega_{\phi_1}u_l^\nu\rangle_U &= \langle \omega'_\eta, \omega_{\phi_1}\rangle\langle \omega'_{\eta_1}, \omega_\phi\rangle\langle v_k^\mu, u_j^\mu\rangle\langle S^2(v_i^\nu), u_l^\nu\rangle \\ &= \delta_{kj}\delta_{il}(rs^{-1})^{2(\rho,\nu)}\langle \omega'_\eta, \omega_{\phi_1}\rangle\langle \omega'_{\eta_1}, \omega_\phi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$
Let $u = \sum_{i,j,\eta,\phi} \theta_{i,j,\eta,\phi}v_i^\nu\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi u_j^\mu$, $v = v_k^\mu\omega'_{\eta_1}\omega_{\phi_1}u_l^\nu$, $1 \le k \le d_\mu$, $1 \le l \le d_\nu$, $\eta_1, \phi_1 \in Q$, ρ is a half sum of positive roots. Because of $\langle u, v\rangle_U = 0$, we have
$$\sum_{\eta,\phi} \theta_{l,k,\eta,\phi}(rs^{-1})^{2(\rho,\nu)}\langle \omega'_\eta, \omega_{\phi_1}\rangle\langle \omega'_{\eta_1}, \omega_\phi\rangle = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

This identity also can reformulate as $\sum_{\eta,\phi}\theta_{l,k,\eta,\phi}(rs^{-1})^{2(\rho,\nu)}\chi_{\eta,\phi}=0$. By Dedekind Theorem (please ref to [**BAI**]), $\theta_{l,k,\eta,\phi}=0$. So $u=0$. □

3. Harish-Chandra homomorphism

We suppose $r^k s^l = 1$ if and only if $k = l = 0$ from now on. Denote by $Z(U)$ the center of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$. It is easy to see that $Z(U) \subset U^0$. We also define an algebra automorphism $\gamma^{-\rho} : U_0 \to U_0$ as

$$\gamma^{-\rho}(\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi) = \varrho^{-\rho}(\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi)\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi.$$

DEFINITION 3.1. Harish-Chandra homomorphism $\xi : Z(U) \to U_0$ is the restricted map $\gamma^{-\rho}\pi\big|_{Z(U)}$,

$$\gamma^{-\rho}\pi : U^0 \to U_0 \to U_0,$$

where $\pi : U^0 \to U_0$ is the canonical projection.

THEOREM 3.1. When n is even, $\xi : Z(U) \to U_0$ is injective for $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$.

Proof: Note that $U^0 = U_0 \bigoplus K$, where $K = \bigoplus_{\nu>0} U^{-\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^{+\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$, K is the two-sided ideal in U^0 which is the kernel of π. As both π and $\gamma^{-\rho}$ are algebra homomorphism, ξ is an algebra homomorphism. Assume that $z \in Z(U)$ and $\xi(z) = 0$. Writing $z = \sum_{\nu\in Q^+} z_\nu$ with $z_\nu \in U^{-\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^{+\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$, we have $z_0 = 0$. Fix any $z_\nu \neq 0$ minimal with the property that $\nu \in Q^+\backslash 0$. Also choose bases $\{y_k\}$ and $\{x_l\}$ for $U^{-\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ and $U^{+\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$, respectively. We may write $z_\nu = \sum_{k,l} y_k t_{k,l} x_l,\ t_{k,l} \in U_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e_i z - z e_i \\ &= \sum_{\gamma\neq\nu}(e_i z_\gamma - z_\gamma e_i) + \sum_{k,l}(e_i y_k - y_k e_i)t_{k,l}x_l + \sum_{k,l} y_k(e_i t_{k,l}x_l - t_{k,l}x_l e_i). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e_i y_k - y_k e_i \in U^{-(\nu-\alpha_i)}(\eta^-)U_0$, and only

$$\sum_{k,l}(e_i y_k - y_k e_i)t_{k,l}x_l \in U^{-(\nu-\alpha_i)}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^{+\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^+).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k,l}(e_i y_k - y_k e_i)t_{k,l}x_l = 0.$$

By the triangular decomposition of U and the fact that $\{x_l\}$ is a basis of $U^{+\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$, we get $\sum_k e_i y_k t_{k,l} = \sum_k y_k e_i t_{k,l}$ for each l and i. Now we fix l and consider the irreducible module $L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. Let v_λ be the highest weight vector of $L(\lambda)$, and set $m = \sum_k y_k t_{k,l} v_\lambda$. Then for each i,

$$e_i m = \sum_k e_i y_k t_{k,l} v_\lambda = \sum_k y_k e_i t_{k,l} v_\lambda = 0.$$

Hence m generates a proper submodule of $L(\lambda)$, which is contradict with the irreducibility of $L(\lambda)$, so

$$m = \sum_k y_k \varrho^\lambda(t_{k,l}) v_\lambda = 0.$$

$\nu = k_1\alpha_1 + k_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + k_n\alpha_n \in Q^+$, chose suitable $\lambda = \lambda_1\varpi_1 + \lambda_2\varpi_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n\varpi_n$ such that $(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee) = \lambda_i \geq k_i$. We might assume $\lambda_i > 0$. By [**BGH2**] Theorem 2.12, $\sum\limits_k y_k \varrho^\lambda(t_{k,l}) \in U^{-\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \mapsto \sum\limits_k y_k \varrho^\lambda(t_{k,l}) v_\lambda$ is injective, so $\sum\limits_k y_k \varrho^\lambda(t_{k,l}) = 0$. As $\{y_k\}$ is a basis of $U^{-\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, $\varrho^\lambda(t_{k,l}) = 0$. Let $t_{k,l} = \sum_{\eta,\phi} a_{\eta,\phi}\omega_\eta^{'}\omega_\phi$. We can claim: when n is even, $\varrho^\lambda(t_{k,l}) = 0, \forall\lambda$, then $t_{k,l} = 0$. This claim also amounts to

$$\varrho^\lambda(\omega_\eta^{'}\omega_\phi) = \varrho^\lambda(\omega_\zeta^{'}\omega_\psi) \Longleftrightarrow \eta = \zeta,\ \phi = \psi. \tag{3.1}$$

which is also equivalent to

$$\varrho^\lambda(\omega_\eta^{'}\omega_\phi) = 1 \Longleftrightarrow \eta = 0,\ \phi = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

This can be proved by induction. □

4. The image of $Z(U)$ under the Harish-Chandra homomorphism ξ

Define an algebra homomorphism $\varrho^{0,\lambda} : U_0 \to \mathbb{K}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ by $\varrho^{0,\lambda}(\omega_\eta'\omega_\phi) = (rs^{-1})^{(\eta+\phi,\lambda)}$. And define an algebra homomorphism $\varrho^{\lambda,\mu} : U^0 \to \mathbb{K}$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ by $\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\omega_\eta'\omega_\phi) = \varrho^{\lambda,0}(\omega_\eta'\omega_\phi)\varrho^{0,\mu}(\omega_\eta'\omega_\phi) = \varrho^{\lambda}(\omega_\eta'\omega_\phi)\varrho^{0,\mu}(\omega_\eta'\omega_\phi)$.

LEMMA 4.1. Let $u = \omega_\eta'\omega_\phi,\ \eta,\ \phi \in Q$ for arbitrary two-parameter quantum group of type B_n. If $\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 1$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, then $u = 1$.

Proof: Denote $\eta = \eta_1\alpha_1 + \eta_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + \eta_n\alpha_n$, $\phi = \phi_1\alpha_1 + \phi_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + \phi_n\alpha_n$,

$$\varrho^{0,\varpi_i}(\omega_\eta^{'}\omega_\phi) = (rs^{-1})^{(\eta+\phi,\varpi_i)} = 1 \Longrightarrow \eta_i + \phi_i = 0,\ \forall i,$$

$$\varrho^{\varpi_i,0}(\omega_\eta^{'}\omega_{-\eta}) = (s^2r^{-2})^{(\alpha_i,\omega_i)\eta_i} = 1 \Longrightarrow \eta_i = 0,\ \forall i.$$

This completes the proof. □

COROLLARY 4.2. If $u \in U_0, \varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(u) = 0$ for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda$, then $u = 0$.

Proof: $(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto \varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\omega_\eta'\omega_\phi),\ (\eta, \phi) \in Q \times Q$ is the character over group $\Lambda \times \Lambda$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that different (η, ϕ) give rise to different characters. Suppose now that $u = \sum \theta_{\eta,\phi}\omega_\eta'\omega_\phi$, where $\theta_{\eta,\phi} \in \mathbb{K}$. By assumption, $\sum \theta_{\eta,\phi}\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\omega_\eta'\omega_\phi) = 0$ for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda$. By the linear independence of different characters, $\theta_{\eta,\phi} = 0,\ u = 0$. □

Let $U_\flat^0 = \bigoplus\limits_{\eta\in Q} \mathbb{K}\omega_\eta'\omega_{-\eta}$, define the action of Weyl group on $U_\flat^0$ by $\sigma(\omega_\eta'\omega_{-\eta}) = \omega'_{\sigma(\eta)}\omega_{-\sigma(\eta)}$, for all $\sigma \in W, \eta \in Q$.

THEOREM 4.3. We have $\varrho^{\sigma(\lambda),\mu}(u) = \varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\sigma^{-1}(u))$ for arbitrary two-parameter quantum group of type B_n, where $u \in U_\flat^0, \sigma \in W$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$.

Proof: We only need to prove this theorem for $u = \omega'_\eta\omega_{-\eta}$. First, we claim that $\varrho^{\sigma(\lambda),0}(u) = \varrho^{\lambda,0}(\sigma^{-1}(u))$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\sigma \in W$. This can be checked for each σ_i and $u = \omega'_\eta\omega_{-\eta}$.

We prove $\varrho^{0,\mu}(u) = \varrho^{0,\mu}(\sigma^{-1}(u))$ in the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varrho^{0,\mu}(\omega_1'^{\eta_1}\omega_2'^{\eta_2}\omega_1^{-\eta_1}\omega_2^{-\eta_2})\\
=&\varrho^{(0,(\mu_1+\frac{1}{2}\mu_2)\alpha_1+(\mu_1+\mu_2)\alpha_2)}(\omega_1'^{\eta_1}\omega_2'^{\eta_2}\omega_1^{-\eta_1}\omega_2^{-\eta_2})\\
=&(rs^{-1})^{((\mu_1+\frac{1}{2}\mu_2)\alpha_1+(\mu_1+\mu_2)\alpha_2,0)}\\
=&1,\\
&\varrho^{0,\mu}(\sigma^{-1}(\omega_1'^{\eta_1}\omega_2'^{\eta_2}\omega_1^{-\eta_1}\omega_2^{-\eta_2})) = 1.\\
&\varrho^{\sigma(\lambda),\mu}(u)\\
=&\varrho^{\sigma(\lambda),0}(\omega_1'^{\eta_1}\omega_2'^{\eta_2}\omega_1^{-\eta_1}\omega_2^{-\eta_2})\varrho^{0,\mu}(\omega_1'^{\eta_1}\omega_2'^{\eta_2}\omega_1^{-\eta_1}\omega_2^{-\eta_2})\\
=&\varrho^{\lambda,0}(\sigma^{-1}(\omega'_\eta\omega_{-\eta}))\varrho^{0,\mu}(\sigma^{-1}(\omega'_\eta\omega_{-\eta}))\\
=&\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\sigma^{-1}(\omega_1'^{\eta_1}\omega_2'^{\eta_2}\omega_1^{-\eta_1}\omega_2^{-\eta_2}))\\
=&\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\sigma^{-1}(\omega'_\eta\omega_{-\eta})),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in U_\flat^0,\ \varrho^{\sigma(\lambda),\mu}(u) = \varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\sigma^{-1}(u))$. □

Let us define

$$(U_\flat^0)^W = \{u \in U_\flat^0 \big| \sigma(u) = u, \forall \sigma \in W\},$$

$\kappa_{\eta,\phi}(\lambda,\mu) = \varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi)$ and $\kappa^i_{\zeta,\psi}(\lambda,\mu) = \varrho^{\sigma_i(\lambda),\mu}(\omega'_\zeta\omega_\psi),\ (\lambda,\mu) \in \Lambda\times\Lambda$.

LEMMA 4.4. As for two-parameters quantum groups of type B_{2n}, if $u \in U_0$, $\varrho^{\sigma(\lambda),\mu}(u) = \varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(u)$, for $\forall\lambda,\ \mu \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in W$. Then $u \in (U_\flat^0)^W$.

Proof: If $u = \Sigma_{\eta,\phi}\theta_{\eta,\phi}\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi \in U_0$, such that $\varrho^{\sigma(\lambda),\mu}(u) = \varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(u)$, for all $\lambda,\mu \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in W$. Identity $\sum\limits_{(\eta,\phi)} \theta_{\eta,\phi}\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi) = \sum\limits_{(\zeta,\psi)} \theta_{\zeta,\psi}\varrho^{\sigma_i(\lambda),\mu}(\omega'_\zeta\omega_\psi)$ can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{(\eta,\phi)} \theta_{\eta,\phi}\kappa_{\eta,\phi} = \sum_{(\zeta,\psi)} \theta_{\zeta,\psi}\kappa^i_{\zeta,\psi}. \tag{4.1}$$

By Lemma 4.1, both sides of identity (4.1) are linear combinations of different characters on $\Lambda\times\Lambda$. If $\theta_{\eta,\phi} \neq 0$, then $\kappa_{\eta,\phi} = \kappa^i_{\zeta,\psi}$, for some $(\zeta,\psi) \in \Lambda\times\Lambda$.

Let $\eta = \sum_j \eta_j\alpha_j$, $\phi = \sum_j \phi_j\alpha_j$, $\zeta = \sum_j \zeta_j\alpha_j,\ \psi = \sum_j \psi_j\alpha_j$ for $1 \le j \le n$, then

$$\kappa_{\eta,\phi}(0,\varpi_j) = \varrho^{0,\varpi_j}(\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi) = (rs^{-1})^{(\eta+\phi,\varpi_j)} \tag{4.2}$$

$$= \kappa^i_{\zeta,\psi}(0,\varpi_j) \tag{4.3}$$

$$= \varrho^{0,\varpi_j}(\omega'_\zeta\omega_\psi) = (rs^{-1})^{(\zeta+\psi,\varpi_j)}, \tag{4.4}$$

therefore,

$$\eta + \phi = \zeta + \psi. \tag{4.5}$$

By expanding $\kappa_{\eta,\phi}(\varpi_i,0)=\kappa^i_{\zeta,\psi}(\varpi_i,0)$, we get

$$(s^2r^{-2})^{\eta_i-\zeta_i}=(s^2r^{-2})^{(\alpha_{i-1},-\alpha_i)\zeta_{i-1}+(\alpha_i,-\alpha_i)\zeta_i+(\alpha_{i+1},-\alpha_i)\zeta_{i+1}}\varrho^{-\alpha_i}(\omega_{\zeta+\psi}).$$

Comparing the index of both sides, it is not difficult to reach the conclusion $\zeta_i+\psi_i=0\quad(\forall\ 1\le i\le n)$, when n is an even number. So $u\in U_\flat^0$. By Theorem 4.3, $\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(u)=\varrho^{\sigma(\lambda),\mu}(u)=\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\sigma^{-1}(u))$, for all $\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda$ and $\sigma\in W$. So $u=\sigma^{-1}(u)$, $u\in(U_\flat^0)^W$. □

THEOREM 4.5. For type B_n, $\varrho^{\lambda+\rho,\mu}(\xi(z))=\varrho^{\sigma(\lambda+\rho),\mu}(\xi(z))$. Especially, when n is even, $\xi(Z(U))\subseteq(U_\flat^0)^W$.

Proof: If $z\in Z(U)$, choose suitable $\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda$ such that $(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)\ge 0$ for some fixed i. Denote by $v_{\lambda,\mu}\in M(\varrho^{\lambda,\mu})$ the highest weight vector.

$$zv_{\lambda,\mu}=\pi(z)v_{\lambda,\mu}=\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\pi(z))v_{\lambda,\mu}=\varrho^{\lambda+\rho,\mu}(\xi(z))v_{\lambda,\mu}.$$

So z acts on $M(\varrho^{\lambda,\mu})$ with scalar $\varrho^{\lambda+\rho,\mu}(\xi(z))$. By Lemma 2.6 in [**BGH2**],

$$e_if_i^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)+1}v_{\lambda,\mu}=[(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)+1]_if_i^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)}\frac{r_i^{-(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)}\omega_i-s_i^{-(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)}\omega_i'}{r_i-s_i}v_{\lambda,\mu}. \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(r_i^{-(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)}\omega_i-s_i^{-(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)}\omega_i'\right)v_{\lambda,\mu}\\&\quad=\left(r_i^{-(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)}\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\omega_i)-s_i^{-(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)}\varrho^{\lambda,\mu}(\omega_i')\right)v_{\lambda,\mu}\\&\quad=\left(r_i^{-(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)}\varrho^{\lambda,0}(\omega_i)-s_i^{-(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)}\varrho^{\lambda,0}(\varpi_i')\right)\varrho^{0,\mu}(\omega_i)v_{\lambda,\mu}\\&\quad=0.\end{aligned}$$

So $e_jf_i^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)+1}v_{\lambda,\mu}=0$.

$$\begin{aligned}zf_i^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)+1}v_{\lambda,\mu}&=\pi(z)f_i^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)+1}v_{\lambda,\mu}\\&=\varrho^{\sigma_i(\lambda+\rho)-\rho,\mu}(\pi(z))f_i^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)+1}v_{\lambda,\mu}\\&=\varrho^{\sigma_i(\lambda+\rho),\mu}(\xi(z))f_i^{(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)+1}v_{\lambda,\mu}.\end{aligned}$$

z acts on $M(\varrho^{\lambda,\mu})$ by scalar $\varrho^{\sigma_i(\lambda+\rho),\mu}(\xi(z))$. So

$$\varrho^{\lambda+\rho,\mu}(\xi(z))=\varrho^{\sigma_i(\lambda+\rho),\mu}(\xi(z)). \tag{4.7}$$

Now let us prove that (4.7) is true for arbitrary $\lambda\in\Lambda$. If $(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)=-1$, then $\lambda+\rho=\sigma_i(\lambda+\rho)$, (4.7) is true. If $(\lambda,\alpha_i^\vee)<-1$, let $\lambda'=\sigma_i(\lambda+\rho)-\rho$, then $(\lambda',\alpha_i^\vee)\ge 0$, λ' such that (4.7) exists. Putting $\lambda'=\sigma_i(\lambda+\rho)-\rho$ on (4.7), we can get the required formula. Since the Weyl group is generated by σ_i's, so

$$\varrho^{\lambda+\rho,\mu}(\xi(z))=\varrho^{\sigma(\lambda+\rho),\mu}(\xi(z)), \tag{4.8}$$

for all $\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda,\sigma\in W$. By Lemma 4.4, the proof is complete. □

5. ξ is an algebra isomorphism for $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_{4n+1})$

LEMMA 5.1. $z \in Z(U)$ if and only if $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{l}}(x)z = (\iota \circ \varepsilon(x))z$ for all $x \in U$. $\varepsilon : U \to \mathbb{K}$ is the counit of U, $\iota : \mathbb{K} \to U$ is the unit map of U.

Proof: $z \in Z(U)$ for all $x \in U$,

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{l}}(x)z = \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} z S(x_{(2)}) = z \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} S(x_{(2)}) = (\iota \circ \varepsilon)(x) z.$$

On contrary, if $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{l}}(x)z = (\iota \circ \varepsilon)(x)z$, for all $x \in U$, then

$$\omega_i z \omega_i^{-1} = \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{l}}(\omega_i)z = (\iota \circ \varepsilon)(\omega_i)z = z.$$

For the same reason, $\omega_i' z (\omega_i')^{-1} = z$, and

$$0 = (\iota \circ \varepsilon)(e_i)z = \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{l}}(e_i)z = e_i z + \omega_i z(-\omega_i^{-1})e_i = e_i z - z e_i$$

$$0 = (\iota \circ \varepsilon)(f_i)z = \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{l}}(f_i)z = z(-f_i(\omega_i')^{-1}) + f_i z(\omega_i')^{-1} = (f_i z - z f_i)(\omega_i')^{-1}.$$

So $z \in Z(u)$. □

LEMMA 5.2. Suppose $\Psi : U^{-\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \times U^{\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^+) \to \mathbb{K}$ is a bilinear function, $(\eta, \phi) \in Q \times Q$. There exits a $u \in U^{-\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0 U^{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ such that

$$\langle u, y\omega'_{\eta_1}\omega_{\phi_1}x\rangle_U = \langle \omega'_{\eta_1}, \omega_\phi\rangle\langle\omega'_\eta, \omega_{\phi_1}\rangle\Psi(y,x), \tag{5.1}$$

for all $x \in U^{\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^+),\ y \in U^{-\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$.

Proof: Let $\mu \in Q^+$, $\{u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu, \cdots, u_{d_\mu}^\mu\}$ be a basis of $U^\mu(\mathfrak{n}^+)$, $\{v_1^\mu, v_2^\mu, \cdots, v_{d_\mu}^\mu\}$ be a basis of $U^{-\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ such that $\langle v_i^\mu, u_j^\mu\rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Let

$$u = \sum_{i,j} \Psi(v_j^\mu, u_i^\nu) v_i^\nu \omega'_\eta \omega_\phi u_j^\mu (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\nu)}.$$

It is easy to check that u satisfies identity (5.1). □

Define a U-module structure on U^* as $f \in U^*, (x.f)(v) = f(\mathrm{ad}(S(x))v)$. Let $\beta : U \to U^*$,

$$\beta(u)(v) = \langle u, v\rangle_U \quad u, v \in U. \tag{5.2}$$

By the non-degeneracy of $\langle , \rangle_U$, β is injective.

DEFINITION 5.1. M is a finite dimensional U-module. Define $C_{f,m} \in U^*$, $C_{f,m}(v) = f(v.m)$, $v \in U$, for $m \in M$ and $f \in M^*$.

THEOREM 5.3. M is a finite dimensional U-module and $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in wt(M)} M_\lambda$,

$$M_\lambda = \big\{m \in M \big| (\omega_i - \varrho^\lambda(\omega_i))m = 0, \quad (\omega_i' - \varrho^\lambda(\omega_i'))m = 0\big\}.$$

for $f \in M^*, m \in M$, there exists a unique $u \in U$ such that $C_{f,m}(v) = \langle u, v\rangle_U$ for all $v \in U$.

Proof: From the non-degeneracy of $\langle\ ,\rangle_U$, it is easy to see that the existence of u is unique. Suppose $m \in M_\lambda$,

$$v = y\omega'_{\eta_1}\omega_{\phi_1}x, \quad x \in U^\nu(\mathfrak{n}^+), \quad y \in U^{-\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^-), \quad (\eta_1, \phi_1) \in Q \times Q,$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{f,m}(v) &= C_{f,m}(y\omega'_{\eta_1}\omega_{\phi_1}x) = f(y\omega'_{\eta_1}\omega_{\phi_1}x.m) \\ &= \varrho^{\lambda+\nu}(\omega'_{\eta_1}\omega_{\phi_1})f(y.x.m). \end{aligned}$$

$(y, x) \mapsto f(y.x.m)$ is a bilinear function,

$$\varrho^{\lambda+\nu}(\omega'_{\eta_1}) = \langle\omega'_{\eta_1}, \omega_{\lambda+\nu}\rangle^{-1}, \quad \varrho^{\lambda+\nu}(\omega_{\phi_1}) = \langle\omega'_{\lambda+\nu}, \omega_{\phi_1}\rangle.$$

So, $C_{f,m}(v) = \langle\omega'_{\eta_1}, \omega_{-\lambda-\nu}\rangle\langle\omega'_{\lambda+\nu}, \omega_{\phi_1}\rangle f(y.x.m)$. By Lemma 5.2, there exists $u_{\nu\mu}$ such that $C_{f,m}(v) = \langle u_{\nu\mu}, v\rangle_U$ for all $v \in U^{-\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^\nu(\mathfrak{n}^+)$.

Now for arbitrary $v \in U$, $v = \sum\limits_{\mu,\nu} v_{\mu\nu}$, where $v_{\mu\nu} \in U^{-\mu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^\nu(\mathfrak{n}^+)$. Because M is finite dimensional, there exists a finite set Ω such that

$$C_{f,m}(v) = C_{f,m}\Big(\sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in\Omega} v_{\mu\nu}\Big),\ \forall\ v \in U.$$

Let $u = \sum\limits_{(\mu,\nu)\in\Omega} u_{\nu\mu}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{f,m}(v) &= C_{f,m}\Big(\sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in\Omega} v_{\mu\nu}\Big) = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in\Omega} C_{f,m}(v_{\mu\nu}) \\ &= \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in\Omega} \langle u_{\nu\mu}, v_{\mu\nu}\rangle_U = \sum_{(\mu,\nu)\in\Omega} \langle u_{\nu\mu}, v\rangle_U \\ &= \langle u, v\rangle_U. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Suppose M is a module in the category $\mathcal{O}$, and define a linear transformation $\Theta : M \to M$,

$$\Theta(m) = (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}m, \tag{5.3}$$

for all $m \in M_\lambda,\ \lambda \in \Lambda$.

LEMMA 5.4. $\Theta u = S^2(u)\Theta$, for all $u \in U$.

Proof: We only need to check it for the generators e_i, f_i, ω_i and ω'_i. For any $m \in M_\lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^2(e_i)\Theta(m) &= S^2(e_i)(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}.m = \omega_i^{-1}e_i\omega_i(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}.m \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle\omega'_i, \omega_i\rangle}(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}.m = (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda+\alpha_i)}e_i.m \\ &= \Theta(e_i.m),\ 1 \le i < n. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S^2(f_i)\Theta.m &= S^2(f_i)(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}.m = \omega_i' f_i \omega_i'^{-1}(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}.m \\
&= \langle \omega_i', \omega_i\rangle (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)} f_i.m = (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda-\alpha_i)} f_i.m, \\
&= \Theta(f_i.m),\ 1 \le i < n. \\
S^2(e_n)\Theta(m) &= \omega_n^{-1} e_n \omega_n (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}.m \\
&= \frac{1}{\langle \omega_n', \omega_n\rangle}(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)} e_n.m \\
&= (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda+\alpha_n)} e_n.m \\
&= \Theta(e_n.m). \\
S^2(f_n)\Theta(m) &= \omega_n' f_n \omega_n'^{-1}(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}.m \\
&= \langle \omega_n', \omega_n\rangle (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)} f_n.m \\
&= (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda-\alpha_n)} e_n.m \\
&= \Theta(f_n.m).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(\omega_i.m) &= \varrho^\lambda(\omega_i)(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}m, & S^2(\omega_i)\Theta.m &= \varrho^\lambda(\omega_i)(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}m, \\
\Theta(\omega_i'.m) &= \varrho^\lambda(\omega_i')(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}m, & S^2(\omega_i')\Theta.m &= \varrho^\lambda(\omega_i')(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\lambda)}m, \\
\Theta(e_i.m) &= S^2(e_i)\Theta(m), & \Theta(f_i.m) &= S^2(f_i)\Theta(m), \\
\Theta(\omega_i.m) &= S^2(\omega_i)\Theta(m), & \Theta\omega_i'.m &= S^2(\omega_i')\Theta(m).
\end{aligned}$$

So $\Theta u = S^2(u)\Theta$. □

For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, define $f_\lambda \in U^*,\ f_\lambda(u) = \mathrm{tr}_{L(\lambda)}(u\Theta),\ u \in U$.

LEMMA 5.5. If $\lambda \in \Lambda^+ \cap Q$, then $f_\lambda \in \mathrm{Im}(\beta)$.

Proof: Let $k = \dim L(\lambda)$, $\{m_i\}$ is a basis of $L(\lambda)$, $\{f_i\}$ is the dual basis of $L(\lambda)^*$.

$$v\Theta(m_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_j(v\Theta(m_j))m_j = \sum_{j=1}^{k} C_{f_j,\Theta(m_j)}(v)m_j$$

$$f_\lambda(v) = \mathrm{tr}_{L(\lambda)}(v\Theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_{f_i,\Theta(m_i)}(v).$$

By Theorem 5.2, there is a $u_i \in U$ such that $C_{f_i,\Theta(m_i)}(v) = \langle u_i, v\rangle_U$ for all $1 \le i \le k$. Let $u = \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i$,

$$\beta(u)(v) = \langle u, v\rangle_U = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\langle u_i, v\rangle_U = \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_{f_i,\Theta(m_i)}(v) = f_\lambda(v).$$

So $f_\lambda \in \mathrm{Im}(\beta)$. □

THEOREM 5.6. For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+ \cap Q$, $z_\lambda := \beta^{-1}(f_\lambda) \in Z(U)$.

Proof: For all $x \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned}(S^{-1}(x)f_\lambda)(u) &= f_\lambda(\mathrm{ad}(x)u)\\ &= \mathrm{tr}_{L(\lambda)}\Big(\sum_{(x)} x_{(1)}uS(x_{(2)})\Theta\Big)\\ &= \mathrm{tr}_{L(\lambda)}\Big(u\sum_{(x)} S(x_{(2)})\Theta(x_{(1)})\Big)\\ &= \mathrm{tr}_{L(\lambda)}\Big(u\sum_{(x)} S(x_{(2)})S^2(x_{(1)})\Theta\Big)\\ &= \mathrm{tr}_{L(\lambda)}\Big(uS\Big(\sum_{(x)} S(x_{(1)})x_{(2)}\Big)\Theta\Big)\\ &= (\iota\circ\varepsilon)(x)\mathrm{tr}_{L(\lambda)}(u\Theta) = (\iota\circ\varepsilon)(x)f_\lambda(u).\end{aligned}$$

Replacing x with $S(x)$ in the above identity and noticing that $\varepsilon \circ S = \varepsilon$, we can get $xf_\lambda = (\iota\circ\varepsilon)(x)f_\lambda$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}xf_\lambda &= x\beta(\beta^{-1}(f_\lambda)) = \beta(\mathrm{ad}(S(x))\beta^{-1}(f_\lambda)),\\ (\iota\circ\varepsilon)(x)f_\lambda &= (\iota\circ\varepsilon)(x)\beta(\beta^{-1}(f_\lambda)) = \beta((\iota\circ\varepsilon)(x)\beta^{-1}(f_\lambda)).\end{aligned}$$

Because β is injective, $\mathrm{ad}(S(x))\beta^{-1}(f_\lambda) = (\iota\circ\varepsilon)(x)\beta^{-1}(f_\lambda)$. Since $\varepsilon\circ S^{-1} = \varepsilon$, replacing x with $S^{-1}(x)$ in the above formula, we get

$$\mathrm{ad}(x)\beta^{-1}(f_\lambda) = (\iota\circ\varepsilon)(x)\beta^{-1}(f_\lambda), \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

By Lemma 5.1, $\beta^{-1}(f_\lambda) \in Z(U)$. □

THEOREM 5.7. As for two-parameter quantum groups of type B_{2n}, if $r^k s^l = 1 \iff k = l = 0$, then $\xi : Z(U) \to (U_\flat^0)^W$ is an algebra isomorphism.

Proof: $z_\lambda = \beta^{-1}(f_\lambda)$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+ \cap Q$

$$z_\lambda = \sum_{\nu\geq 0} z_{\lambda,\nu}, \quad z_{\lambda,0} = \sum_{(\eta,\phi)\in Q\times Q} \theta_{\eta,\phi}\omega'_\eta\omega_\phi.$$

Here $z_{\lambda,\nu} \in U^{-\nu}(\mathfrak{n}^-)U_0U^\nu(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ and $\theta_{\eta,\phi} \in \mathbb{K}$. $(\eta_i, \phi_i) \in Q \times Q$,

$$\langle z_\lambda, \omega'_{\eta_i}\omega_{\phi_i}\rangle_U = \langle z_{\lambda,0}, \omega'_{\eta_i}\omega_{\phi_i}\rangle = \sum_{\eta,\phi}\theta_{\eta,\phi}\langle\omega'_{\eta_i},\omega_\phi\rangle\langle\omega'_\eta,\omega_{\phi_i}\rangle.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle z_\lambda, \omega'_{\eta_i}\omega_{\phi_i}\rangle_U &= \beta(z_\lambda)(\omega'_{\eta_i}\omega_{\phi_i}) = f_\lambda(\omega'_{\eta_1}\omega_{\phi_i}) = \mathrm{tr}_{L(\lambda)}(\omega'_{\eta_i}\omega_{\phi_i}\Theta)\\ &= \sum_{\mu\leq\lambda}\dim(L(\lambda)_\mu)(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\mu)}\varrho^\mu(\omega'_{\eta_i}\omega_{\phi_i})\\ &= \sum_{\mu\leq\lambda}\dim(L(\lambda)_\mu)(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\mu)}\langle\omega'_{\eta_i},\omega_{-\mu}\rangle\langle\omega'_\mu,\omega_{\phi_i}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

It can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{(\eta,\phi)}\theta_{\eta,\phi}\chi_{\eta,\phi} = \sum_{\mu\leq\lambda}\dim(L(\lambda)_\mu)(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\mu)}\chi_{\mu,-\mu}.$$

So

$$\theta_{\eta,\phi} = \begin{cases} \dim(L(\lambda)_\mu)(rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\mu)}, & \eta+\phi=0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$z_{\lambda,0} = \sum_{\mu\le\lambda} (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\mu)} \dim(L(\lambda)_\mu)\omega'_\mu\omega_{-\mu}, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(z_\lambda) &= \gamma^{-\rho}(z_{\lambda,0}) \\ &= \sum_{\mu\le\lambda} (rs^{-1})^{-2(\rho,\mu)} \dim(L(\lambda)_\mu)\varrho^{-\rho}(\omega'_\mu\omega_{-\mu})\omega'_\mu\omega_{-\mu} \\ &= \sum_{\mu\le\lambda} \dim(L(\lambda)_\mu)\omega'_\mu\omega_{-\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

We only need to prove $(U_\flat^0)^W \subseteq \xi(Z(U))$. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+ \cap Q$, define

$$av(\lambda) = \frac{1}{|W|}\sum_{\sigma\in W} \sigma(\omega'_\lambda\omega_{-\lambda}) = \frac{1}{|W|}\sum_{\sigma\in W} \omega'_{\sigma(\lambda)}\omega_{-\sigma(\lambda)}. \tag{5.5}$$

For arbitrary $\eta \in Q$, there exists $\sigma \in W$ such that $\sigma(\eta) \in \Lambda^+ \cap Q$, so $\{av(\lambda)\big|\lambda \in \Lambda^+ \cap Q\}$ is a basis of $(U_\flat^0)^W$. Right now we only need to prove $av(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Im}(\xi)$. By induction on the height of λ, when $\lambda = 0$, $av(\lambda) = 1 = \xi(1)$, since $\dim L(\lambda)_\mu = \dim L(\lambda)_{\sigma(\mu)}$, for all $\sigma \in W$, $\dim L(\lambda)_\lambda = 1$.

$$\xi(z_\lambda) = |W|av(\lambda) + |W|\sum \dim(L(\lambda)_\mu)av(\mu),$$

where $\mu < \lambda,\ \mu \in \Lambda^+ \cap Q$. By induction, $av(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Im}(\xi)$. So $(U_\flat^0)^W \subseteq \xi(Z(U))$. □

References

[BH] X.T. Bai and N. Hu, *Two-parameter quantum groups of exceptional type E-series and convex PBW type basis*, Algebra Colloq. 15:4 (2008), 619–636.

[B] P. Baumann, *On the center of quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Algebra, 203 (1) (1998), 244–260.

[BAI] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra I*, Publisher: W. H. Freeman & Co. (Sd); 2nd-edition (February 1985).

[BW1] G. Benkart, S. Witherspoon, *A Hopf structure for down-up algebras*, Math Z., 238(3): 523–553 (2001).

[BW2] G. Benkart, S. Witherspoon, *Two-parameter quantum groups and Drinfel'd doubles*, Algebra Represent. Theory, 7: 261–286 (2004).

[BW3] G. Benkart, S. Witherspoon, *Representations of two-parameter quantum groups and Schur-Weyl duality*, In: Hopf Algebras, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl Math, vol. 237, New York: Dekker, 2004, 65–92.

[BW4] G. Benkart, S. Witherspoon, *Restricted two-parameter quantum groups*, In: Fields Institute Communications. In: Representations of Finite Dimensional Algebras and Related Topics in Lie Theory and Geometry, vol. 40. Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 2004, 293–318.

[BKL] G. Benkart, S.J. Kang and K.H. Lee, *On the center of two-parameter quantum groups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edi. Sect. A., 136 (3)(2006), 445–472.

[BGH1] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao and N. Hu, *Drinfel'd doubles and Lusztig's symmetries of two-parameter quantum groups*, J. Algebra 301 (2006), 378–405.

[BGH2] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao and N. Hu, *Representations of two-parameter quantum orthogonal groups and symplectic groups*, AMS/IP, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, 1–21, 2007.

[D] V.G. Drinfeld, *On almost cocommutative Hopf algebras*, Leningrad Math. J., 1 (2) (1991), 321–342.

[DJ] J. Duan, *On the center of two-parameter quantum group $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{so}_5)$*, Master's Thesis of ECNU, 2009.

[E] P.I. Etingof, *Central elements for quantum affine algebras and affine Macdonald's operators*, Math. Res. Lett., 2 (1995), 611–628.

[Hong] J. Hong, *Center and universal R-matrix for quantized Borcherds superalgebras*, J. Math. Phys., 40 (1999), 3123.

[HP1] N. Hu and Y. Pei, *Notes on two-parameter quantum groups*, (I), Sci. in China, Ser. A. **51** (6) (2008), 1101–1110.

[HP2] N. Hu and Y. Pei, *Notes on two-parameter quantum groups*, (II), (arXiv: 0908.1635v2), Comm. Algebra 40 (9)(2012), 3202–3220.

[HRZ] N. Hu, M. Rosso and H. Zhang, *Two-parameter affine quantum group, Drinfel'd realization and quantum affine Lyndon basis*, Comm. Math. Phys. 278 (2) (2008), 453–486.

[HS] N. Hu and Q. Shi, *The two-parameter quantum groups of exceptional type and Lusztig symmetries*, Pacific J. Math., 230 (2), 327–346.

[HW] N. Hu and X. Wang, *Convex PBW-type Lyndon basis and restricted two-parameter quantum groups of type B*, J. Geom. Phys. 60 (3) (2010), 430–453.

[JL] A. Joseph, G. Letzter, *Separation of variables for quantized enveloping algebras*, Amer. J. Math., 116 (1) (1994), 127–177.

[KT] S.J. Kang, T. Tanisaki, *Universal R-matrices and the center of quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, Hiroshima Math. J. 27 (2) (1997), 347–360.

[RTF] N.Y. Reshetikhin, L.A. Takhtadzhyan, L.D. Faddeev, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Algebra i analiz, 1 (1) (1989), 178–206.

[R] M. Rosso *Analogues de la forme de Killing et du théoréme d'Harish-Chandra pour les groupes quantiques*, Ann. Sci. l'Ecole Norm. Sup. (4), 23 (3) (1990), 445–467.

[T] T. Tanisaki, *Killing forms, Harish-Chandra isomorphisms, and universal R-matrices for quantum algebras*, Infinite Analysis, Part A, B (Kyoto, 1991), 941–961, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., 16, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL PRACTICE, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, MIN HANG CAMPUS, DONG CHUAN ROAD 500, SHANGHAI 200241, PR CHINA

E-mail address: nhhu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL PRACTICE, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, MIN HANG CAMPUS, DONG CHUAN ROAD 500, SHANGHAI 200241, PR CHINA

E-mail address: 52100601007@student.ecnu.cn